

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/611,959	07/03/2003	Nevin P. Carr	P23870	3558
7055	7590 05/21/2004		EXAMINER	
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.			YEAGLEY, DANIEL S	
1950 ROLAN RESTON, V.	ND CLARKE PLACE A 20191		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
10001011,			3611	•
			DATE MAILED: 05/21/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			4
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/611,959	CARR ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel Yeagley	3611	
Th MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover shet w	ith th correspondence addr	' SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA* - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lation. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this com BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice of 	This action is non-final. allowance except for formal mat		merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-39 are subject to restriction a	vithdrawn from consideration.		,
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the E 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to n to the drawing(s) be held in abeya e correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFF	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in a he priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO- 	152)

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of

the crank return of the claimed invention: Species I. figure 5 - 7

Species II. figure 24

Page 2

Species III. figure 25

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,959

Art Unit: 3611

2. Upon election of one of the species above, further election is required as set fourth below.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct sub-species

of the drive mechanisms of the claimed invention: Sub-Species I. figure 1-4, 11, 22, 23

Sub-Species II. figure 12,13

Page 3

Sub-Species III. figure 14, 15

Sub-Species IV. figure 20

Sub-Species V. figure 21

Sub-Species VI. figure 8,9

Sub-Species VII. figure 16

Sub-Species VIII. figure 17

Sub-Species IX. figure 18

Sub-Species X. figure 19

Sub-Species XI. figure 27

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

Art Unit: 3611

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. A telephone call was made to Bruce Bernstein on 5/6/04 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/611,959

Art Unit: 3611

D.Y.

LEGIEV D. MORRIS

Page 5

SIPERVISORY FATERY CYAMINER

EURINI OCH CEMIER 3000